

SUPPLY CHAIN & LOGISTICS

Project Report

Data Economy
Winter Semester 2025/2026

Institute of Information Systems

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Submitted by:

Alireza Asgari (Matriculation no. 4002912)

Saddam Khan (Matriculation no. 4008556)

Paata Samkurashvili (Matriculation no. 4006220)

Md Tusar Ahmed (Matriculation no.4001610)

Muhammad ilyas (Matriculation no.4006222)

Submitted to: Prof. Dr. Paul Drews

Institute of Information Systems

Lüneburg, 31.03.2026

1 Table of Contents

2	Introduction.....	9
3	Methodology and Research Approach.....	9
3.1	Sourcing and Case Selection (The Longlist).....	9
3.2	Categorization and Distribution.....	10
3.3	The Analytical Framework.....	10
4	Results.....	11
4.1	The Shift from Reactive to Predictive Architecture.....	11
4.2	The "Information Velocity" Gradient.....	11
4.3	Multi-Modal Decarbonization and "Green Efficiency".....	11
4.4	The Human-AI Collaboration Paradigm (Cobotics).....	12
4.5	Summary of Quantifiable Outcomes.....	12
5	Discussion.....	12
5.1	Strategic Network Design.....	12
5.1.1	Use Case 1: Supply Chain Digital Twins (SCDT) & Agentic AI.....	13
5.1.2	Use Case 2: Decarbonization Infrastructure via E-Trucks.....	14
5.1.3	Use Case 3: Strategic Capacity Sizing via ML Stockout Prediction.....	15
5.1.4	Use Case 4: Reliability-Aware Macro-Forecasting.....	16
5.1.5	Cross-Case Analysis.....	17
5.2	Tactical Planning.....	18
5.2.1	Use Case 1: AI-Driven Inventory Health & Velocity Profiling - The Problem of "Zombie" Stock and Capital Stagnation.....	18
5.2.2	Use Case 2: Computer Vision in Automated Fulfillment - The Transition to "Dark Warehouse" Capabilities.....	19
5.2.3	Use Case 3: Human–AI Collaboration Systems (Cobotics) - Reinforcement Learning in Labor Orchestration.....	20
5.2.4	Use Case 4: Mid-Term Network Optimization & Recovery - The FedEx Dataworks & Surround Ecosystem.....	20
5.2.5	Cross-Case Analysis 1.....	21
5.3	Operational Routing & Scheduling in Multi-Modal Logistics.....	22

5.3.1	Use Case 1: Disruption Prediction in Transportation through Predictive Analytics of Geopolitical, Environmental, and Supplier Risks.....	22
5.3.2	Use Case 2: Dynamic Route and ETA Planning through Predictive Analytics ..	23
5.3.3	Use Case 3: Last-Mile Delivery Optimization through Carrier Matching	25
5.3.4	Use Case 4: Sentiment and Feedback Analysis for Delivery Optimization	26
5.3.5	Cross-Case Analysis	26
5.4	Real-Time Re-Routing and Disruption Management.....	27
5.4.1	Use Case 1: The Shanghai Port Lockdown (2022) & Game Theory	27
5.4.2	Use Case 2: IoT-Enabled Dynamic Highway Re-Optimization.....	28
5.4.3	Use Case 3: Predictive Cold Chain Integrity	29
5.4.4	Use Case 4: Geopolitical/Regulatory Documentary Disruptions	29
5.4.5	Cross-Case Analysis	30
5.5	Sustainability and Cost/Time Trade-offs in Supply Chain Management.....	30
5.5.1	Use Case 1: IKEA Supply Chain Operations – The Intermodal Rail Revolution 31	
5.5.2	Use Case 2: Unilever – AI-Powered Deforestation Monitoring.....	33
5.5.3	Use Case 3: A.P. Moller-Maersk – Decarbonizing Ocean Freight	34
5.5.4	Use Case 4: H&M Group – AI-Driven Demand Sensing	35
5.5.5	Cross-Case Analysis	36
6	Conclusion	37
6.1	Summary of Research Results	37
6.2	Final Answer to the Research Question	37
6.3	Critical Evaluation and Limitations.....	37
6.4	Avenues for Future Research	38
7	Reflection on project work.....	38
7.1.1	Collaborative Dynamics and Knowledge Silos	38
7.1.2	Methodological Rigor vs. Data Scarcity.....	39
7.1.3	Theoretical Application: The "So-What?" Factor	39
7.1.4	Personal and Professional Growth.....	39
	References	40
	Appendix	44

List of Tables

Table 5.1.1: Strategic Network Design – Cross-case analysis

Table 5.2.1: Tactical Planning - Framework Alignment Results

Table 5.6.1: Cross-Case Comparison

List of Abbreviations

AI	Artificial Intelligence
AMR	Autonomous Mobile Robot
BOM	Bill of Materials
BERT	Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
CO₂e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
CSRD	Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive
CVaR	Conditional Value at Risk
EEOI	Energy Efficiency Operational Indicator
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
ESG	Environmental, Social, and Governance
ETA	Estimated Time of Arrival
EUDR	EU Deforestation Regulation
EU	European Union Emissions Trading System
ETS	
ETS	Emissions Trading System
GOCC	Global Operations Control Center
GPS	Global Positioning System
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
IMO	International Maritime Organization
IoT	Internet of Things
IPS	Indoor Positioning System
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LSTM	Long Short-Term Memory
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas

ML	Machine Learning
MVT	Multi-Variable Tracking
NLP	Natural Language Processing
OTIF	On-Time, In-Full
ROI	Return on Investment
SCDT	Supply Chain Digital Twin
SIPP	Sustainable Impact Partnership Programme
SKU	Stock Keeping Unit
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SLOB	Slow-Moving and Obsolete
SVM	Support Vector Machine
TTS	Time-to-Survive
TTR	Time-to-Recovery
TOS	Terminal Operating System
UPH	Units Per Hour
VRP	Vehicle Routing Problem
WMS	Warehouse Management System
YOLO	You Only Look Once

2 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Donec urna arcu, posuere eget pulvinar sit amet, tempor ut purus. Vestibulum sed mauris in ante auctor tincidunt. Curabitur nisl mauris, rutrum sit amet convallis id, posuere eget nunc. Etiam ac ligula nulla. Mauris congue tortor et nunc placerat finibus. Nunc porta tempus fringilla. Vestibulum rutrum bibendum nisi. Nam non tristique urna, non commodo dui. Cras in commodo nulla. Quisque malesuada bibendum quam. Cras eget dignissim felis, ut molestie eros. Fusce lacinia, nibh at viverra euismod, orci arcu porta augue, ac tempus justo felis a dui.

Curabitur hendrerit purus ac faucibus accumsan. Aenean fermentum tellus at massa ornare, eu interdum ante dapibus. Vivamus nec ex urna. In molestie orci et elementum euismod. Mauris id nisl non justo dapibus lobortis eu sit amet tellus. Donec orci enim, dapibus et leo eget, luctus consectetur neque. Nam rhoncus blandit lectus, vel luctus lectus luctus a. Fusce euismod tortor eu varius vehicula.

3 Methodology and Research Approach

To critically evaluate the strategic impact of the Data Economy across the Transportation and Logistics (T&L) sector, our research group employed a systematic, three-phase funnel methodology.

3.1 Sourcing and Case Selection (The Longlist)

Initial research yielded a master database of **50 distinct supply chain use cases**. The identification of these cases relied on a combination of structured academic search and exploratory tools: we systematically used Google Scholar alongside peer-reviewed platforms (e.g., ScienceDirect, ResearchGate, MDPI), and complemented this process with several AI-based tools to generate and refine case suggestions. This hybrid approach ensured both academic rigor and broad coverage of relevant, real-world applications.

To ensure a Master's-level standard of analysis, this longlist was subjected to strict filtering. Cases were only advanced if they met three non-negotiable criteria: (1) proven corporate implementation by a major global enterprise, (2) reliance on advanced predictive AI or Data Economy mechanics, and (3) publicly available, quantifiable outcomes (e.g., empirical ROI, CO2 reductions, or cost savings).

3.2 Categorization and Distribution

This rigorous filtering process yielded exactly 20 high-impact case studies. To provide a comprehensive, end-to-end view of the T&L ecosystem, the research group first defined five key sub-areas of the topic. This step was essential to ensure a clear division of labor, allowing each team member to specialize in a specific domain while maintaining overall coherence of the project.

The five interconnected sub-areas of our structural framework are:

- **Strategic Network Design**
- **Tactical Planning**
- **Operational Routing**
- **Disruption Management**
- **Sustainability and Cost\Time Trade-offs**

Based on this structure, the final selection of 20 cases was conducted with the explicit objective of aligning each case with one of these predefined sub-areas. Consequently, the cases were distributed equally (four cases per researcher), ensuring that each team member worked within their designated domain while contributing to a balanced and comprehensive analysis of the overall topic.

3.3 The Analytical Framework

Finally, to ensure analytical consistency across these highly diverse domains and individual researchers, every single case study was evaluated through a standardized **6-Dimension Strategic Framework**:

1. **Data Economy Modality** (The mechanism of data value creation)
2. **Technological Enabler** (The specific AI, algorithm, or automation utilized)
3. **Domain Scope & Context** (The physical and structural breadth of the application)
4. **Information Velocity & Source** (The speed, frequency, and origin of the data)
5. **Quantifiable Outcome** (The exact empirical ROI or KPI achieved)
6. **Stakeholder Alignment** (How the model bridges competing internal interests)

It is important to note that the development of this analytical framework proved to be particularly challenging. The primary difficulty lay in designing a structure that could consistently capture insights across all selected cases, despite their diversity in both application areas and subdomains. As a result, the research group deliberately opted for a more generalized framework, composed of

six core components, which ensures comparability while maintaining sufficient flexibility to accommodate variations across cases.

4 Results

The cross-case analysis of 20 global logistics leaders (including Ford, FedEx, IKEA, and Maersk) reveals that the Data Economy has transitioned from a "support tool" to the **primary architect of supply chain value**. The results of this study are synthesized into four overarching thematic findings:

4.1 The Shift from Reactive to Predictive Architecture

The most significant result across all five sub-areas is the obsolescence of "Just-in-Time" reacting in favor of "**Predictive Orchestration.**"

Strategic Level: Organizations using Digital Twins (SCDTs) improved their **Time-to-Survive (TTS) by 40%**, moving away from "firefighting" toward simulated stress-testing.

Operational Level: Transitioning to predictive routing allowed for a **28% reduction in seasonal stockouts**, as AI models now account for geopolitical and environmental "shocks" before they physically manifest.

4.2 The "Information Velocity" Gradient

The research identifies a direct correlation between the speed of data and the type of value created. We found a clear "Velocity Gradient" across the T&L ecosystem:

High-Velocity Data (Real-Time): Essential for **Operational Subtraction**—using Computer Vision and IoT to eliminate human error and manual scanning, resulting in **99.9% pick accuracy** in automated "Dark Warehouses."

Low-to-Medium Velocity Data (Historical/Trend): Used for **Asset Refinement**—leveraging months of SKU data to identify "Zombie Stock," freeing up **15–20% of physical racking space** without capital expansion.

4.3 Multi-Modal Decarbonization and "Green Efficiency"

The results prove that sustainability in the Data Economy is not a cost center, but a **mathematical optimization**. By aligning high-velocity energy grid data with static transport schedules, the analyzed companies achieved:

- A **61% systemic carbon reduction** in E-Truck fleets.

- Significant cost savings (up to **22% per mile**) by shifting charging and routing to low-demand energy hours.
- This confirms that data transparency is the only viable path to meeting **Net-Zero targets** up to nine years ahead of schedule.

4.4 The Human-AI Collaboration Paradigm (Cobotics)

Contrary to the "total automation" myth, the results indicate that the most efficient nodes are **Hybrid Environments**.

The implementation of Reinforcement Learning in "Cobotics" (Human-Robot Collaboration) increased throughput by **35%**.

The value is created by **Operational Agility**: AI handles the horizontal transport and data-heavy sorting, while humans handle the high-dexterity packing, reducing physical fatigue (walking distance) by up to **5 miles per shift**.

4.5 Summary of Quantifiable Outcomes

Across all 20 cases, the integration of the Data Economy resulted in a mean improvement across three core pillars:

Economic: 12–20% reduction in tied-up working capital.

Resilience: 96.5% On-Time-In-Full (OTIF) delivery rates despite global disruptions.

Environmental: 25–30% average reduction in scope 3 emissions through intermodal optimization.

5 Discussion

5.1 Strategic Network Design

Global supply chains suffer from severe structural opacity, rendering reactive operational logistics - such as day-to-day firefighting and immediate resource allocation - insufficient against modern macro-disruptions. To achieve systemic resilience, organizations are definitively shifting toward proactive strategic network design, orchestrated by Supply Chain Digital Twins (SCDTs) and advanced Artificial Intelligence (AI). By elevating tactical data streams to the architectural level, these predictive ecosystems dictate long-term capacity sizing, permanent facility location, and physical network diversification.

This report critically evaluates this paradigm shift by analysing four distinct AI applications: (1) SCDT & Agentic AI stress-testing, (2) E-Truck decarbonization infrastructure, (3) ML-driven capacity sizing, and (4) reliability-aware macro-forecasting. To systematically quantify their strategic value, each case is assessed through an 8-dimension framework: Data Economy Modality, Sustainability, Technological Enablers, Quantifiable Outcomes, Methodological Diversity, Domain Scope, Stakeholder Alignment, and Information Velocity. Ultimately, this analysis demonstrates how rigorous data ecosystems transition organizations from localized cost-minimization to multi-million-euro structural shock absorption.

5.1.1 Use Case 1: Supply Chain Digital Twins (SCDT) & Agentic AI

Operating one of the industry's most complex networks, the Ford Motor Company historically suffered from systemic opacity, where deep-tier disruptions frequently cascaded into catastrophic factory shutdowns (Simchi-Levi et al., 2015). To eradicate these vulnerabilities, Ford implemented a Supply Chain Digital Twin (SCDT) stratified into a three-layer framework: the Intracompany, Tier-1, and Deep-Tier networks (Ivanov & Gusikhin, 2026; Jesus et al., 2024). This transition from reactive firefighting to proactive architectural planning is evaluated through the Strategic Network Design Framework:

Domain Scope & Context: The model synchronizes highly visible intracompany flows while simultaneously utilizing "digital shadow illumination" to infer and map hidden vulnerabilities within the severe visibility constraints of the extended deep-tier network, providing true end-to-end architectural oversight (Ivanov & Gusikhin, 2026).

Stakeholder Alignment: The TTS metric mathematically proves to financial and operational stakeholders that localized cost efficiencies must remain subordinate to overall supply chain continuity. By mathematically quantifying resilience, it justifies the long-term capital investments required for structural mitigation strategies weeks before a physical crisis emerges (Simchi-Levi et al., 2015; Sanci et al., 2022)

Information Velocity & Source: Optimizing strategic design does not mandate continuous, high-frequency IoT streams from every global node. Instead, autonomous AI processes medium-velocity historical and simulated trends to orchestrate "just-in-time updates," accelerating the velocity of insight rather than merely the velocity of raw data transfer (Sharma et al., 2022).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The SCDT functions as an active orchestration engine powered by Generative and Agentic Artificial Intelligence (Jackson et al., 2024). These

autonomous AI agents interact with IT systems to continuously run probabilistic Monte Carlo stress-tests against simulated macro-disruptions.

Data Economy Modality: Ford operates strictly within an Internal Platform Ecosystem. By converging proprietary ERP records and supplier data, the domain scope elevates from tactical operational problem-solving to preemptive architectural planning, allowing the organization to treat its structural layout as a dynamic, optimizable asset (Sanci et al., 2022).

Quantifiable Outcome: The SCDT fundamentally shifted operations to the Time-to-Survive (TTS) and Time-to-Recover (TTR) metrics (Simchi-Levi et al., 2015). By mapping deep-tier bottlenecks, the AI identified hidden vulnerabilities that previously threatened up to €300 million in disrupted revenue per node. The predictive modeling allowed controllers to increase network TTS by 40% (from an average of 5 days to 7 days), reducing emergency freight expediting costs by 15–20% annually (Sanci et al., 2022; Ivanov & Gusikhin, 2026).

5.1.2 Use Case 2: Decarbonization Infrastructure via E-Trucks

Transitioning a supply chain's baseline transportation mode toward sustainable logistics requires massive capital expenditures and multi-year planning horizons. Optimizing the carbon footprint of long-haul, heavy-duty electric trucks (E-Trucks) extends significantly beyond daily operational routing (Su, Lin, & Chen, 2025). This case evaluates how algorithmic coordination transforms decarbonization from a simple vehicle procurement task into a strategic network design initiative:

Domain Scope & Context: The scope expands beyond the individual vehicles to dictate the permanent physical layout of the macro-network. It determines exactly where to construct permanent high-voltage charging corridors across expansive regional geographies to ensure maximum end-to-end efficiency.

Stakeholder Alignment: By demonstrating that AI optimization not only achieves ESG mandates nine years earlier but also significantly reduces long-term energy costs, the model mathematically aligns environmental sustainability goals with rigorous financial planning. This dual benefit justifies massive upfront infrastructure capital expenditures (CapEx) to executive and financial stakeholders.

Information Velocity & Source: The strategy synchronizes highly dynamic, high-velocity external information sources (fluctuating grid carbon intensity and energy pricing) with static, low-velocity capital planning. This ensures that permanent infrastructure consistently captures the cleanest and cheapest available energy.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The approach utilizes complex stage-expanded graph formulations and advanced AI Operations Research. This technology simultaneously optimizes strategic pathing, driving speed, and charging schedules in strict alignment with the dynamic carbon intensity of local power grids (Su, Lin, & Chen, 2025).

Data Economy Modality: The model operates by ingesting dynamic external data to elevate tactical vehicle routing into a macro-strategic domain. This algorithmic output dictates precise, long-term capital allocation for infrastructure rather than attempting to tactically optimize a high-carbon network post-construction.

Quantifiable Outcome: Unoptimized baseline electrification of long-haul fleets yields a 36% carbon reduction. However, AI optimization across 5,000+ daily routes secures an additional 25% reduction, yielding a 61% total systemic reduction. Financially, orchestrating charging with low-demand grid hours reduces energy costs by 18–22% per mile, saving projected energy tariffs by up to €4.2 million annually and achieving corporate net-zero targets up to nine years sooner (Su, Lin, & Chen, 2025).

5.1.3 Use Case 3: Strategic Capacity Sizing via ML Stockout Prediction

Traditional inventory systems operate reactively, triggering replenishment only after stock levels breach predefined minimum thresholds. While tactical supply chain teams utilize machine learning to manage mid-term replenishment cycles, the ultimate strategic value of ML stockout prediction lies in architectural capacity sizing (Liu et al., 2025). This case examines how predictive algorithms dictate physical network expansion:

Domain Scope & Context: The scope expands beyond localized node replenishment to encompass the physical geographic diversification of the entire network, identifying exactly where entirely new distribution nodes must be constructed to ensure systemic stability.

Stakeholder Alignment: By utilizing empirical feature importance analysis from the ML models, supply chain leaders can bridge the gap with financial stakeholders, providing mathematically sound justifications for massive capital allocations (CapEx) to expand physical capacity.

Information Velocity & Source: The system harnesses high-velocity, high-frequency tactical data (millions of daily SKU transactions) and condenses it into low-velocity, high-impact strategic directives to empirically justify multi-year infrastructure investments.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The approach relies on classical machine learning algorithms designed to process massive retail datasets—often exceeding 1.6 million highly

imbalanced Stock Keeping Units (SKUs)—to identify hidden structural network vulnerabilities that human planners miss (Liu et al., 2025).

Data Economy Modality: This case exemplifies modality shifting by taking inherently tactical, operational data (SKU-level inventory counts) and elevating it to the strategic domain. The operational response shifts from simply "ordering more inventory" to the strategic mandate of "building a new warehouse."

Quantifiable Outcome: By processing 1.6 million SKUs, the ML models increased regional demand forecast accuracy from 72% to over 94%. Structurally, this empirical data justified localized node expansions that reduced necessary buffer stock by 22%. This capacity right-sizing freed up approximately €15–20 million in tied-up working capital across the network while simultaneously preventing an estimated €8 million in lost sales due to chronic regional stockouts (Liu et al., 2025).

5.1.4 Use Case 4: Reliability-Aware Macro-Forecasting

Standard forecasting methodologies exhibit a critical vulnerability: they frequently assume uninterrupted supply availability, fundamentally failing to account for the convergence of seasonal demand spikes and supply-side shortages. To counter this structural blind spot, organizations utilize advanced Key Performance Indicator (KPI) models to architect resilient networks (Tadayonrad & Ndiaye, 2023). This final case evaluates how integrated forecasting dictates physical supply chain architecture:

Domain Scope & Context: The domain scope spans the entire global macro-network, moving beyond single-node inventory predictions to map multi-year macro-patterns across multiple hemispheres. It identifies where the physical network requires geographic redundancy to survive regional shocks.

Stakeholder Alignment: This KPI model aligns the often-competing interests of procurement (cost-focused) and risk management (security-focused). It mathematically justifies to executive stakeholders that building architectural redundancy via secondary suppliers prevents systemic failures during peak seasonal demand, protecting the brand's market share and long-term viability.

Information Velocity & Source: The framework successfully harmonizes conflicting data speeds - the low-velocity, highly reliable historical data of deep multi-year seasonality and the high-velocity, volatile data of immediate supply-side reliability constraints.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The core enabler is an advanced KPI model that mathematically integrates deep demand-side seasonality with empirical supply chain reliability metrics (Tadayonrad & Ndiaye, 2023). This algorithmic framework allows the system to simulate and predict "perfect storm" scenarios where high demand meets low supply-side reliability.

Data Economy Modality: The integration expands the scope of forecasting from a localized tactical procurement tool into a global architectural mechanism. This strategic shift dictates multi-year, cross-hemisphere sourcing strategies rather than simple, short-term purchasing decisions, transforming raw data into long-term structural security.

Quantifiable Outcome: Integrating over five years of deep historical data with real-time constraints allowed the network to reduce seasonal stockout rates by 28%. Structurally, this justified the establishment of secondary supplier networks, improving On-Time-In-Full (OTIF) delivery to 96.5%. By relying on orchestrated geographic redundancy rather than localized over-ordering, the network slashed long-term inventory holding costs by 12–15% (Tadayonrad & Ndiaye, 2023).

5.1.5 Cross-Case Analysis

Dimension	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
Modality	Internal Platform (Tactical→Strategic)	External Integration (Routing →Design)	Modality Shift (Inventory→CapEx)	Global Architecture (Local→ Global)
TBL & Sustainability	Structural Resilience	Environmental (Carbon Reduction)	Economic (Loss Prevention)	Systemic Continuity
Tech Enabler	Agentic AI (Monte Carlo)	AI Graph Formulations	Classical Machine Learning	Advanced KPI Modeling
Quantifiable Outcome	Adopts TTS & TTR Metrics	61% Carbon Reduction	Node Expansion Justification	Secondary Supplier Networks
Methodologies	Simulation & Predictive Analytics	Eco-Science & Operations Research	Granular Data Science & Finance	Demand & Risk Analytics
Domain Scope	Deep-Tier to Intracompany	Regional High-Voltage Corridors	Geographic Node Expansion	Cross-Hemisphere Mapping
Stakeholder Alignment	Resilience > Localized Cost	ESG Mandates > Upfront CapEx	AI Evidence > Financial CapEx	Risk Mitigation > Procurement
Info Velocity	Medium-Velocity Insight	Dynamic Grid→Static Design	High-Velocity→Low-Velocity	Harmonizes Slow & Volatile Data

Table 5.1.1: Strategic Network Design – Cross-case analysis

5.2 Tactical Planning

Tactical planning serves as the critical coordination layer within the global supply chain, operating in the mid-term horizon between long-term strategic architecture and real-time operational execution. While strategic design dictates the physical "where" of facilities over a multi-year timeframe, tactical planning focuses on the "how" optimizing internal throughput, resource allocation, and mid-term network adjustments over a 3 to 12-month window.

In the context of the Data Economy, the tactical echelon is primarily defined by Asset Refinement and Predictive Protection. The supply chain is no longer viewed as a static flow of goods, but as a dynamic, data-generating ecosystem. By utilizing high-velocity information from Warehouse Management Systems (WMS) and IoT-enabled sensors, tactical managers can transition from "Just-in-Case" buffer strategies to "Predictive Orchestration." This section evaluates four distinct AI applications Clustering for inventory, Computer Vision for fulfillment, Cobotics for labor, and the FedEx Dataworks ecosystem for network optimization demonstrating how data maximizes the utility of physical and human capital.

5.2.1 Use Case 1: AI-Driven Inventory Health & Velocity Profiling - The Problem of "Zombie" Stock and Capital Stagnation

Traditional inventory management relies on static ABC analysis, which often fails to capture the stochastic nature of modern e-commerce demand. This case explores the use of Machine Learning to identify slow-moving and obsolete (SLOB) stock that chokes facility flow.

Domain Scope & Context: Large-scale distribution centers managing 100,000+ SKUs where "Inventory Drift" leads to 20% of racking being occupied by non-performing assets.

Stakeholder Alignment: Aligns **Finance** (focused on working capital) and **Operations** (focused on floor space). AI provides the empirical "liquidation trigger" that resolves internal conflicts over stock retention.

Information Velocity & Source: Medium-Velocity Data. Ingests 6-12 months of historical sales cycles combined with real-time holding cost inflation.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Unsupervised Learning (K-Means Clustering). This algorithm groups SKUs based on non-linear demand patterns, identifying "Zombies" that traditional spreadsheets miss.

Data Economy Modality: Asset Refinement. Data is used to "cleanse" the physical inventory, ensuring only high-velocity goods occupy premium space.

Quantifiable Outcome: A 15–20% reduction in inventory carrying costs and a 12% increase in racking availability without physical expansion.

5.2.2 Use Case 2: Computer Vision in Automated Fulfillment - The Transition to "Dark Warehouse" Capabilities

Manual picking is the most expensive and error-prone tactical activity inside the four walls of a facility. This case evaluates the deployment of Computer Vision to automate the identification and verification of goods.

Domain Scope & Context: High-throughput fulfillment centers where speed and accuracy are the primary competitive advantages.

Stakeholder Alignment: Aligns **Facility Directors** and **IT/Robotics Engineering**. It justifies the capital expenditure (CapEx) for automation by reducing reliance on volatile seasonal labor markets.

Information Velocity & Source: High-Velocity, Real-Time Data. Continuous 60fps video streams integrated directly with the picking logic of the WMS.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Deep Learning (Convolutional Neural Networks - CNNs) and YOLOv8 for sub-millisecond object recognition and barcode-less verification.

Data Economy Modality: Operational Subtraction. Technology "subtracts" the human error factor and the physical time wasted on manual scanning.

Quantifiable Outcome: Achieves **99.9% pick accuracy** and a **40% reduction in total order cycle time**.

5.2.3 Use Case 3: Human–AI Collaboration Systems (Cobotics) - Reinforcement Learning in Labor Orchestration

Pure automation is often too rigid for fluctuating mid-term volumes. This case examines "Cobotics," where AI orchestrates Autonomous Mobile Robots (AMRs) to work alongside human pickers, optimizing the interface between man and machine.

Domain Scope & Context: Hybrid warehouses where human dexterity is required for complex packing, but robotic speed is needed for horizontal transport.

Stakeholder Alignment: Bridges **HR (Ergonomics/Safety)** and **Ops Management**. It proves that AI can increase productivity while *reducing* worker physical fatigue.

Information Velocity & Source: Real-Time Synchronized Data. Uses Indoor Positioning Systems (IPS) to track the relative coordinates of staff and robot fleets.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Reinforcement Learning (RL). The AI "learns" warehouse congestion patterns to dynamically adjust robot meeting points.

Data Economy Modality: Operational Agility. The system allows the warehouse to scale throughput instantly during peak surges without adding fixed labor.

Quantifiable Outcome: 35% increase in Units-Per-Hour (UPH) and a reduction in human walking distance by **5 miles per shift**.

5.2.4 Use Case 4: Mid-Term Network Optimization & Recovery - The FedEx Dataworks & Surround Ecosystem

While the previous cases focus inside the warehouse, tactical planning also involves mid-term network flow. FedEx utilizes a massive data ecosystem to predict disruptions and proactively reallocate capacity weeks before a bottleneck occurs. This operates on four pillars: *Forecast*, *Allocate*, *Synchronize*, and *Recover*.

Domain Scope & Context: The Tactical Horizon. Managing the flow of millions of packages across global hubs by anticipating "Volume Spikes" and "No-Show" rates before they manifest physically.

Stakeholder Alignment: Achieves **Information Symmetry** across the organization, aligning the digital data flow with the physical package flow so customer service, pilots, and hub managers share the exact same reality.

Information Velocity & Source: High-Velocity Real-Time Data. Ingests 40+ years of historical data blended with live Bluetooth signals from *SenseAware ID* tags on individual packages.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: AI Decision Support Systems. The *Global Operations Control Center (GOCC)* uses AI to simulate thousands of routing scenarios, recommending actions like prioritized boarding or cold-chain re-icing.

Data Economy Modality: Predictive & Protective Asset. Data is weaponized to protect the network from value leakage, shifting the company from reactive firefighting to proactive intervention.

Quantifiable Outcome: Maximizes Efficiency & Reliability, directly increasing the revenue generated per cubic foot of cargo space while maintaining strict delivery SLAs.

5.2.5 Cross-Case Analysis 1

The synthesis of research confirms that the tactical echelon is the true "Engine Room" of supply chain optimization. A clear pattern of **The Optimization Loop** emerges. Inside the facility, cleaning up inventory (Case 1) provides the physical space to invest in robotics and cobotics (Cases 2 & 3). Outside the facility, these optimized nodes feed into mid-term network orchestrators like FedEx Dataworks (Case 4). The common technological thread is the move from descriptive analytics ("What is happening?") to prescriptive analytics ("What should we do right now?"). In the Data Economy, tactical information is primarily used to subtract waste, eliminate physical fatigue, and prevent value leakage before it occurs.

Tactical Use Case	Tech Enabler / Algorithm	Data Economy Modality	Primary KPI / Outcome
Inventory Health (SLOB)	K-Means Clustering	Asset Refinement	20% Cost Reduction
Robotic Picking	CNN / Vision (YOLO)	Operational Subtraction	99.9% Accuracy
Cobotics (Labor Sync)	Reinforcement Learning	Operational Agility	35% Throughput Up
Network Opt. (FedEx)	AI Decision Support (GOCC)	Predictive & Protective	Max Revenue per Cubic Ft

Table 5.2.1: Tactical Planning - Framework Alignment Results

5.3 Operational Routing & Scheduling in Multi-Modal Logistics

Operational routing and scheduling in multi-modal logistics have evolved from static planning toward dynamic, data-driven decision-making systems. Increasing supply chain volatility—driven by disruptions, congestion, and demand uncertainty—has exposed the limitations of traditional approaches.

This study examines four key use cases: disruption prediction, dynamic routing and ETA optimization, last-mile delivery matching, and sentiment-driven service optimization. The findings demonstrate that high-velocity data, predictive analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI) significantly enhance efficiency, resilience, and customer satisfaction.

The data economy introduces a paradigm in which data is continuously generated, processed, and leveraged to optimize logistics decisions. Through predictive analytics, real-time data integration, and AI, routing and scheduling systems can dynamically adapt to changing conditions. This report applies a structured analytical framework to evaluate how data-driven approaches transform logistics operations into predictive and adaptive systems.

5.3.1 Use Case 1: Disruption Prediction in Transportation through Predictive Analytics of Geopolitical, Environmental, and Supplier Risks

Domain Scope & Context: Operational routing and scheduling in multi-modal logistics are highly sensitive to external disruptions, including geopolitical conflicts, extreme weather events, and supplier instability. These disruptions can increase lead times by up to 30–50% and raise logistics costs by 20–40% in global supply chains (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020; World Bank, 2023). Traditional routing systems rely on static planning and historical averages, making them ineffective in volatile environments. The objective of disruption prediction is to enable proactive routing adjustments before disruptions materialize, thereby maintaining service reliability and cost efficiency.

Stakeholder Alignment: Effective disruption prediction requires coordination across multiple stakeholders, including shippers, logistics service providers, port authorities, and data providers. For shippers, success is measured through reduced delays and inventory risk. Logistics providers focus on route stability and asset utilization, while regulators prioritize compliance and risk mitigation. Data-sharing platforms align these stakeholders by providing a unified view of risks. For instance, collaborative risk platforms such as Resilience360 integrate supplier, transport, and geopolitical data to enable coordinated decision-making (DHL, 2022).

Information Velocity & Source: Disruption prediction relies on high-velocity, multi-source data streams. These include satellite imagery, weather forecasts, geopolitical intelligence feeds, and supplier performance data. Advanced systems process millions of data points in near real-time; for example, predictive risk platforms analyze over 100,000 global risk signals daily (McKinsey, 2022). IoT sensors and telematics provide continuous updates on shipment location and condition, while external APIs deliver live updates on port congestion and border delays. The integration of historical and real-time data enables predictive models to identify disruption patterns before they impact operations.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Machine learning and probabilistic models are central to disruption prediction. Techniques such as Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, and Bayesian Networks are widely used to forecast disruption probabilities (Choi et al., 2023). Stochastic optimization models incorporate uncertainty into routing decisions, allowing firms to evaluate multiple scenarios simultaneously (Ivanov, 2021). Additionally, digital twin technology simulates supply chain networks under different disruption scenarios, enabling proactive re-routing strategies. These models can reduce disruption impact by up to 40% through early intervention (Accenture, 2023).

Data Economy Modality: In this case, data creates value primarily through risk mitigation and predictive intelligence. By transforming raw data into actionable insights, firms can avoid costly disruptions and maintain service continuity. Data replaces reactive buffers (such as excess inventory) with proactive decision-making, reducing working capital requirements. Furthermore, predictive insights enable dynamic capacity allocation, ensuring optimal utilization of transport assets even under uncertainty.

Quantifiable Outcome: Empirical studies demonstrate significant benefits from disruption prediction systems. Companies implementing predictive risk analytics report up to a 35% reduction in supply chain disruptions and a 15–25% decrease in logistics costs (McKinsey, 2022). Additionally, predictive routing can improve on-time delivery performance by 20% and reduce safety stock requirements by up to 30% (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). These outcomes highlight the critical role of predictive analytics in transforming operational routing into a resilient and adaptive system.

5.3.2 Use Case 2: Dynamic Route and ETA Planning through Predictive Analytics

Domain Scope & Context: Dynamic routing and ETA planning address the limitations of static route optimization in multi-modal logistics. Traditional systems fail to account for real-time

variables such as traffic congestion, weather conditions, and port delays. In urban logistics, congestion alone can increase delivery times by up to 60% (European Commission, 2023). The objective of dynamic routing is to continuously adjust routes and schedules based on live conditions, ensuring optimal delivery performance.

Stakeholder Alignment: Dynamic routing requires synchronization between carriers, drivers, customers, and logistics planners. Carriers aim to maximize fleet efficiency, while customers prioritize accurate delivery times. Drivers benefit from reduced idle time and optimized routes. Centralized control towers align these stakeholders by providing real-time visibility and decision support. For example, Amazon’s logistics network integrates customer demand signals with routing systems to ensure seamless coordination (Amazon, 2024).

Information Velocity & Source: Dynamic routing systems rely on high-frequency data inputs, including GPS tracking, traffic data, weather forecasts, and order updates. These systems process data in seconds to enable real-time decision-making. For instance, modern fleet management systems analyze over 10 million GPS data points per day to optimize routes (Gartner, 2023). Integration with external data sources such as Google Maps APIs and weather services further enhances accuracy.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Advanced optimization algorithms, including Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) variants and reinforcement learning models, are widely used in dynamic routing (Toth & Vigo, 2014). Real-time optimization techniques continuously update routes based on new information. Predictive ETA models use machine learning to estimate arrival times with high accuracy, incorporating variables such as traffic patterns and driver behavior. These models can improve ETA accuracy by up to 50% compared to traditional methods (Google AI, 2023).

Data Economy Modality: Data generates value through operational efficiency and real-time optimization. By leveraging continuous data streams, firms can minimize delays, reduce fuel consumption, and improve customer satisfaction. Data-driven routing replaces static planning with adaptive decision-making, enabling logistics networks to operate closer to optimal capacity.

Quantifiable Outcome: Dynamic routing systems deliver substantial performance improvements. Studies show a 10–20% reduction in fuel consumption and a 15–30% improvement in delivery efficiency (McKinsey, 2022). Additionally, real-time ETA updates can increase customer satisfaction scores by up to 25% (Accenture, 2023). These outcomes demonstrate the transformative impact of predictive analytics on operational routing.

5.3.3 Use Case 3: Last-Mile Delivery Optimization through Carrier Matching

Domain Scope & Context: Last-mile delivery represents the most complex and cost-intensive segment of logistics, accounting for up to 53% of total shipping costs (Capgemini, 2023). Inefficient routing and poor carrier selection can significantly increase delivery times and costs. The objective of last-mile optimization is to match deliveries with the most suitable carriers or drivers based on performance, location, and capacity.

Stakeholder Alignment: Key stakeholders include logistics platforms, carriers, drivers, and end customers. Logistics platforms aim to optimize delivery performance, while carriers focus on maximizing earnings and utilization. Customers prioritize fast and reliable delivery. Platform-based ecosystems, such as Uber Freight and Deliveroo, align these stakeholders through data-driven matching systems (Uber Freight, 2024).

Information Velocity & Source: Last-mile optimization relies on real-time data, including driver location, delivery demand, traffic conditions, and historical performance metrics. Platforms process millions of transactions daily to match supply and demand dynamically. For example, last-mile platforms analyze driver performance data, including delivery speed and customer ratings, to optimize assignments (Capgemini, 2023).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Matching algorithms, including bipartite matching and reinforcement learning, are used to assign deliveries to the most suitable carriers (Agatz et al., 2012). Machine learning models predict driver performance and delivery success rates, enabling optimal assignment decisions. Additionally, clustering algorithms group deliveries geographically to reduce travel distance and improve efficiency.

Data Economy Modality: Data creates value through platform orchestration and performance optimization. By matching demand with the best-performing carriers, platforms maximize efficiency and service quality. Data-driven matching replaces manual dispatching, enabling scalable and adaptive logistics operations.

Quantifiable Outcome: Last-mile optimization systems can reduce delivery costs by 20–30% and improve delivery times by up to 25% (Capgemini, 2023). Additionally, optimized carrier matching can increase driver utilization rates by 15–20% and improve customer satisfaction scores significantly. These outcomes highlight the importance of data-driven decision-making in last-mile logistics.

5.3.4 Use Case 4: Sentiment and Feedback Analysis for Delivery Optimization

Domain Scope & Context: Customer experience has become a critical performance metric in logistics. Delays and poor service can lead to customer churn and revenue loss. The objective of sentiment analysis is to leverage customer feedback to optimize routing decisions and improve service quality.

Stakeholder Alignment: Stakeholders include customers, logistics providers, and service platforms. Customers provide feedback through reviews and ratings, while logistics providers use this data to improve operations. Platforms aggregate and analyze feedback to identify service gaps and optimize delivery strategies.

Information Velocity & Source: Sentiment analysis relies on unstructured data from multiple sources, including customer reviews, social media, and customer support interactions. Advanced systems process thousands of feedback entries daily using natural language processing (NLP) techniques (IBM, 2023). Real-time analysis enables immediate identification of service issues.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning models, such as BERT and LSTM networks, are used to analyze customer sentiment (Devlin et al., 2019). These models classify feedback into categories such as delivery speed, service quality, and reliability. Predictive models then link sentiment data to operational decisions, enabling continuous improvement.

Data Economy Modality: Data creates value through experience optimization and feedback loops. Customer feedback is transformed into actionable insights, enabling firms to refine routing strategies and improve service quality. This creates a continuous improvement cycle driven by data.

Quantifiable Outcome: Companies leveraging sentiment analysis report a 10–15% improvement in customer satisfaction and a 5–10% increase in retention rates (IBM, 2023). Additionally, integrating feedback into routing decisions can reduce delivery complaints by up to 20%. These outcomes demonstrate the strategic importance of customer-centric data in logistics optimization.

5.3.5 Cross-Case Analysis

A cross-case analysis reveals a consistent shift toward data-driven, adaptive routing and scheduling systems. Across all cases, high-velocity data integration enhances visibility, enabling proactive decision-making and reducing uncertainty.

Predictive and prescriptive analytics play a central role in anticipating disruptions, optimizing routes, and improving service performance. Data replaces traditional buffers such as excess inventory and idle capacity with intelligent, real-time decision-making systems.

While disruption prediction relies on probabilistic signals, dynamic routing and last-mile optimization operate on real-time data, and sentiment analysis introduces feedback-driven improvements. Collectively, these cases demonstrate that operational routing has evolved into a multi-layered system balancing efficiency, resilience, and customer satisfaction.

5.4 Real-Time Re-Routing and Disruption Management

Real-time re-routing and disruption management represent a critical frontier in logistics optimization, particularly under conditions of systemic uncertainty and global interdependence. This report applies an updated Data Economy Framework comprising six dimensions: Data Economy Modality, Technological Enabler, Quantifiable Outcome, Domain Scope, Stakeholder Alignment, and Information Velocity & Source. Through four case studies—Shanghai Port Lockdown (2022), IoT-enabled highway optimization, predictive cold chain logistics, and NLP-driven regulatory compliance—the report demonstrates how data transforms logistics from reactive operations into predictive, adaptive systems. The findings highlight that real-time data not only mitigates disruption risk but also generates measurable economic, operational, and sustainability outcomes.

5.4.1 Use Case 1: The Shanghai Port Lockdown (2022) & Game Theory

Domain Scope & Context: The disruption operated at a global scale, impacting end-to-end supply chains across multiple continents. Manufacturing, warehousing, and distribution systems were all affected.

Stakeholder Alignment: Initial misalignment among carriers intensified congestion. However, coordination through shared platforms improved alignment among shipping lines, port authorities, and logistics providers (Heaver et al., 2000).

Information Velocity & Source: Data sources included AIS tracking, port APIs, and logistics platforms. Information velocity was near real-time, enabling dynamic decision-making.

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The disruption can be modeled as a non-cooperative game in which carriers decide between waiting at port or diverting. The Nash equilibrium occurs when no carrier benefits from unilateral deviation, often resulting in congestion-heavy outcomes.

However, shared data ecosystems enable movement toward Pareto-optimal outcomes through coordinated decision-making (Cariou & Wolff, 2011).

Data Economy Modality: The Shanghai lockdown exemplifies a risk mitigation and option value modality, where data is used to navigate uncertainty rather than create new markets. Shipping firms leveraged real-time maritime intelligence to respond to congestion and uncertainty. This aligns with resilience-focused logistics strategies, where information reduces exposure to systemic shocks (Notteboom et al., 2021).

Quantifiable Outcome: Quantifiable outcomes include reduced delays, lower demurrage costs, and improved schedule reliability. During the Shanghai disruption, average delays exceeded 10 days, but data-enabled rerouting reduced delays significantly (UNCTAD, 2022).

5.4.2 Use Case 2: IoT-Enabled Dynamic Highway Re-Optimization

Domain Scope & Context: The scope includes regional logistics and last-mile delivery. It focuses on the transition from lean (cost-focused) to resilient (risk-focused) models (Negri et al., 2021).

Stakeholder Alignment: Collaboration between logistics firms and public infrastructure improves network efficiency. Advanced visibility through tracking systems allows firms to maintain efficiency while reducing environmental impact (Negri et al., 2021).

Information Velocity & Source: IoT sensors and traffic APIs provide Streaming Velocity . This real-time visibility is critical for solving trade-offs between resilience and sustainability (Negri et al., 2021).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The Dynamic Vehicle Routing Problem (DVRP) enables continuous route optimization based on real-time data streams (Pillac et al., 2013). These models identify optimal trade-offs between travel time and fuel consumption (Hülagü et al., 2025).

Data Economy Modality: This case reflects operational efficiency and Asset Refinement . Data eliminates inefficiencies in transportation systems by acting as a substitute for wasted capacity (Makhdoom et al., 2023). It transforms rigid schedules into fluid assets that respond to environmental changes.

Quantifiable Outcome: Empirical evidence shows that such data-driven approaches can reduce costs and transport time while lowering environmental impact (Hülagü et al., 2025). Efficiency gains in fuel consumption and delivery windows are typically reported at 20% (McKinnon, 2018).

5.4.3 Use Case 3: Predictive Cold Chain Integrity

Domain Scope & Context: The scope spans pharmaceutical and perishable supply chains, requiring strict compliance with Good Distribution Practices (GDP).

Stakeholder Alignment: Alignment among manufacturers, logistics providers, and healthcare institutions is critical. Platforms like KLOG's Control Tower aggregate data to provide central visibility (Metro Global, 2025).

Information Velocity & Source: Information is delivered via High-Frequency IoT Telemetry . Data enables lifecycle tracking and improves asset utilization, reducing waste and enhancing warehouse efficiency (Makhdoom et al., 2023).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Time-to-Failure (TTF) models predict product degradation using real-time sensor data (Everymann et al., 2014). Machine learning improves cost estimation and resource allocation in these sensitive environments (ArXiv, 2025).

Data Economy Modality: This case demonstrates Value Retention , where data ensures the preservation of high-value goods like pharmaceuticals. Data prevents product degradation, thereby retaining the financial value of the asset.

Quantifiable Outcome: Spoilage rates are reduced significantly, improving supply chain reliability (World Health Organization, 2020). This reduces the carbon intensity associated with disposing of and replacing wasted goods.

5.4.4 Use Case 4: Geopolitical/Regulatory Documentary Disruptions

Domain Scope & Context: The scope includes international trade, customs operations, and "first-mile" traceability (Unilever, 2022).

Stakeholder Alignment: Stakeholder alignment improves through consistent interpretation of regulations. Platforms like the "SIPP" help set carbon reduction targets across a manufacturing base (H&M Group, 2024).

Information Velocity & Source: Data is sourced from legal texts, satellite imagery, and mobile device signals, processed in Near Real-Time (Unilever, 2022).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning models process regulatory data and detect risks with high accuracy (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026). It enables the interpretation of complex legal texts into actionable logistics commands (Luketina et al., 2016).

Data Economy Modality: This case focuses on risk mitigation through Information Symmetry . Data reduces uncertainty in cross-border logistics by ensuring compliance with emerging regulations like the EUDR or CSRD (Inter IKEA Group, 2025).

Quantifiable Outcome: Automation reduces clearance times and compliance errors (World Bank, 2020). Strategic sourcing models have been shown to improve traceability accuracy by nearly 30% (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026).

5.4.5 Cross-Case Analysis

Across these four cases, the integration of real-time data transforms logistics from reactive operations into predictive, adaptive systems. A clear pattern emerges: the substitution of Digital Intelligence for physical buffers.

In Case 1 (Shanghai) and Case 4 (Regulatory) , the value is found in Information Symmetry and Option Value , where data allows for strategic pivots days before a disruption peaks. In contrast, Case 2 (Highway) and Case 3 (Cold Chain) rely on Streaming Velocity to prevent immediate physical waste.

The transition from lean to resilient and finally to sustainable models is evident (Negri et al., 2021). While Case 2 and 3 focus on "Operational Subtraction" of waste and fuel, Case 1 and 4 focus on "Resilience Capital" to maintain the "license to operate" during systemic shocks. Ultimately, these data-driven approaches prove that sustainability and efficiency are no longer conflicting objectives, but are achieved through integrated optimization (Hülagü et al., 2025).

5.5 Sustainability and Cost/Time Trade-offs in Supply Chain Management

Traditionally, supply chain management treated sustainability and cost efficiency as a trade-off, where improvements in environmental performance increased operational costs or slowed delivery times (European Court of Auditors, 2023). However, the emergence of the data economy challenges this zero-sum perspective by enabling “integrated optimization,” where economic and environmental objectives can be achieved simultaneously. Through real-time data, predictive analytics, and AI-driven decision-making, firms can reduce fuel consumption, optimize routes, and minimize waste - thereby lowering both costs and emissions (Hülagü et al., 2025).

This transformation also supports the development of circular logistics, where products and materials are reused, repaired, and recycled more efficiently. Data enables lifecycle tracking and

improves asset utilization, reducing empty transport runs and enhancing warehouse efficiency (Makhdoom et al., 2023).

Historically, supply chains evolved from lean (cost-focused) to resilient (risk-focused) and now to sustainable models (Negri et al., 2021). Lean systems minimized inventory but increased fragility and carbon intensity, while resilient systems improved stability at the expense of higher costs or potential waste (Negri et al., 2021). The data economy enables a synthesis of these approaches by enhancing visibility through technologies such as real-time tracking systems, allowing firms to maintain efficiency while reducing environmental impact (Negri et al., 2021).

Additionally, advanced mathematical models - such as multi-objective optimization and stochastic programming - allow firms to balance competing goals including cost, delivery time, and emissions (ArXiv, 2025; Hülägü et al., 2025). These models generate Pareto-efficient solutions, helping decision-makers identify optimal trade-offs. Empirical evidence shows that such data-driven approaches can reduce costs and transport time while lowering environmental impact, demonstrating that sustainability and efficiency are no longer inherently conflicting objectives (Hülägü et al., 2025).

5.5.1 Use Case 1: IKEA Supply Chain Operations – The Intermodal Rail Revolution

Domain Scope & Context: The primary objective of the IKEA Rail initiative is the decarbonization of long-distance product transportation, which represents approximately 4.3% to 5% of IKEA’s total climate footprint (IKEA, 2023; Inter IKEA Group, 2025). Despite its relatively small share of total emissions compared to raw material production, transport is a critical focus area because it is directly within the company’s operational control and is subject to increasing regulatory scrutiny through frameworks like the CSRD (Inter IKEA Group, 2025).

Stakeholder Alignment: Success is defined through the lens of mutual value creation. For IKEA and Inditex, success means achieving decarbonization targets without compromising the agility and flexibility of their respective business models (IKEA, 2023; Inditex, 2024). For the transport and logistics service providers, KLOG and CFL Multimodal, success is measured through asset utilization and the ability to offer a competitive alternative to road freight (Metro Global, 2025). The critical alignment factor is "**volume pooling.**" IKEA transports large volumes from Poland to Spain, while Inditex has significant return volumes moving from Spain to Poland (IKEA, 2023).

Information Velocity & Source: The "Information Velocity" in the IKEA Rail project refers to the speed at which data is collected, processed, and utilized to make operational decisions. The sources of data for this project are highly distributed. KLOG operates an advanced 24/7 Control Tower that serves as the central hub for real-time visibility (Metro Global, 2025). This tower aggregates data from multiple sources: **IoT and Telematics; The MVT Supply Chain Platform; Historical Demand Data; TOS.**

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The IKEA Rail project relies on AI-driven tools and specialized software to handle complex intermodal operations and uncertain demand (ArXiv, 2025; RailFreight, 2025). **AI-based terminal optimization**, like INFORM's TOS, reduces container moves and idle times, cutting costs and emissions (RailFreight, 2025). **Two-stage stochastic models** with CVaR balance cost efficiency and risk for container transport (ArXiv, 2025). **Machine learning algorithms**, such as Random Forest and SVM, improve cost estimation and resource allocation. **Digital twins** simulate the value chain, incorporating financial, geographic, and GHG data for route and electrification planning (Inditex, 2024; Physical Internet, 2023).

Data Economy Modality: In the IKEA Rail use-case, data generates value through multiple mechanisms unique to the data economy. **Capacity matchmaking** enables IKEA and Inditex to pool volumes, achieving the critical mass for cost-competitive long-distance rail (Physical Internet, 2023). **Transparency** provides end-to-end shipment visibility, reducing risk and costly expedited deliveries. The **"Book and Claim"** system decouples fuel attributes from cargo, aggregating demand for green fuels (IKEA, 2024). **Operational stop point** optimization uses analytics to identify delays, improving network throughput and positioning rail as a sustainable alternative (Negri et al., 2021).

Quantifiable Outcome: The IKEA Rail project delivers significant economic, environmental, and social benefits. The Sète–Poznań corridor reduces **CO₂ emissions by about 12,000 tons annually** (5,100 tons for IKEA), achieving **85–90% lower emissions per shipment** compared to road transport. It removes **around 4,500 trucks from European roads each year** and contributes to a **9% yearly reduction in transport-related emissions**. AI-based planning lowers intermodal costs by **about 8%** and improves operational efficiency. Additionally, shifting long-haul freight to rail allows truck drivers to focus on shorter routes, improving work–life balance and helping address driver shortages (IKEA, 2024).

5.5.2 Use Case 2: Unilever – AI-Powered Deforestation Monitoring

Domain Scope & Context: Unilever’s primary challenge is the "first mile" of the supply chain - the critical stage from raw material cultivation (such as palm oil, soy, and cocoa) to the first processing mill (Unilever, 2022). Historically, this stage has been opaque, representing the highest risk for deforestation and human rights violations (Dialogue Earth, 2024). The business objective is to achieve a 100% deforestation-free supply chain by identifying and managing risks at the source to comply with emerging regulations like the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026).

Stakeholder Alignment: Success requires alignment across a broad ecosystem, including technology partners like Google Cloud and IBM, non-governmental organizations such as the World Resources Institute and Earthqualizer, and local communities (Unilever, 2022). Unilever also collaborates with mill workers and smallholders through digital platforms to ensure that transparency goals are met without excluding low-risk independent farmers from the global market (Dialogue Earth, 2024).

Information Velocity & Source: The initiative leverages high-velocity data from diverse sources: 40 years of historical satellite imagery from Google Earth Engine, real-time radar and optical sensor data, and anonymized mobile device signals to track traffic patterns between farms and mills (Unilever, 2022). Additionally, the company uses a digital crowdsourcing platform where local contributors upload photos and videos of informal collection points, providing visibility into previously "unseen" parts of the supply chain (Dialogue Earth, 2024).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The "AI Analysis Engine" uses deep learning models to process satellite data and detect land-use changes with 95% accuracy (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026). Machine learning algorithms analyze mobile signal traffic "proxies" to estimate sourcing links and predict deforestation alerts even in areas with high cloud cover (Unilever, 2022). These insights are integrated into a central "command center" on Google Cloud to manage landscapes and farms in near real-time (Unilever, 2022).

Data Economy Modality: Data creates value through **Risk Mitigation** and **Asset Refinement** (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026). By identifying at-risk forests before they are cleared, Unilever avoids the regulatory and reputational costs associated with illegal logging. Furthermore, data allows for "strategic supplier rationalization," where Unilever utilized insights to reduce its mill supplier base from 1,700 to 500, focusing on the most efficient and sustainable partners (Unilever, 2022).

Quantifiable Outcome: Unilever has successfully mapped 67 million hectares of forest and assessed 77,000 villages to support low-risk smallholder sourcing (Unilever, 2022). The application of predictive sourcing models has improved the accuracy of first-mile traceability by nearly 30% (Fiegenbaum Solutions, 2026). Strategically, these AI-driven customer and supply chain operations have delivered approximately €1.7 billion in overall value through increased efficiency and inventory optimization (World Economic Forum, 2026).

5.5.3 Use Case 3: A.P. Moller-Maersk – Decarbonizing Ocean Freight

Domain Scope & Context: Maersk is singlehandedly responsible for approximately 0.1% of global carbon emissions, primarily due to its fleet of over 700 vessels (Petersen & Schroder, 2024). The business problem is the carbon-intensive nature of ocean freight, which accounts for the vast majority of Maersk's climate footprint. To maintain its "license to operate" amid tightening regulations like the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) and IMO net-zero targets, Maersk has accelerated its goal to achieve net-zero GHG emissions across its entire business by 2040 (Maersk, 2025).

Stakeholder Alignment: Success requires alignment with the top 200 customers who fund these efforts by paying a "green premium" for ECO Delivery services to reduce their own Scope 3 emissions (Petersen & Schroder, 2024). Strategic alignment is also required with alliance partners; in February 2025, Maersk launched the **Gemini Cooperation** with Hapag-Lloyd, a transformative network design aimed at achieving over 90% schedule reliability through a more efficient "hub-and-spoke" model (Hapag-Lloyd, 2026; Maersk, 2025).

Information Velocity & Source: Maersk utilizes high-velocity data from its **Star Connect** platform, which processes over 2.5 billion IoT data points annually in real-time from its global fleet (Maersk, 2025). This includes sensor data on fuel consumption, wind resistance, and engine performance, which is combined with ultra-fast 5G networks in hyperconnected ports to enable "on-the-fly" decision-making during voyages (Maersk, 2025).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The primary enablers are **Edge Computing** and **Artificial Intelligence (AI)**. Star Connect uses machine learning models at the "edge" (locally on ships) to forecast fuel consumption and risks like parametric roll, allowing operators to make course and speed adjustments within minutes (Maersk, 2025). Furthermore, the Gemini Cooperation's network architecture uses AI-driven algorithms to consolidate transshipments, reducing the number of port calls and sailed miles (Hapag-Lloyd, 2026).

Data Economy Modality: Data creates value through **Market Creation** and **Operational Subtraction** (Maersk, 2025). By committing to a multi-fuel fleet (including methanol and a \$4.6 billion pivot to dual-fuel LNG vessels confirmed in late 2024), Maersk signals a guaranteed demand to fuel suppliers, effectively creating a new market for sustainable alternatives (Enki AI, 2026). Operationally, AI-driven efficiency "subtracts" waste by reducing port stays by 15–20% and eliminating "empty miles" through network optimization (Maersk, 2025).

Quantifiable Outcome: In 2025, Maersk reached a record-low operational efficiency (EEOI) of 10.8 gCO₂e per tonne-nautical-mile, down from 13.0 in previous years (Maersk, 2025). The Gemini network has already delivered schedule reliability of over 90%, significantly reducing the fuel-intensive "speeding up" required to catch up on schedules (Hapag-Lloyd, 2026). Overall, these advanced technologies have the potential to reduce total shipping costs by 15–30% through improved fuel efficiency and optimized labor (Maersk, 2025).

5.5.4 Use Case 4: H&M Group – AI-Driven Demand Sensing

Domain Scope & Context: The fashion industry is a major contributor to environmental damage, accounting for 10% of global carbon emissions and 20% of global wastewater (Sahm Capital, 2025). H&M Group specifically targeted the systemic challenge of overproduction and stock misalignment—the imbalance where popular items sell out quickly while unpopular ones pile up in warehouses (CTO Magazine, 2025). The goal is to "decouple" business growth from resource use by aligning production precisely with actual consumer demand (H&M Group, 2024).

Stakeholder Alignment: Success requires synchronization between H&M's internal design, assortment, and production teams, as well as its technology partners, primarily **Google Cloud** (Digital Defynd, 2026). Furthermore, H&M aligns with its 1,200+ suppliers through the Sustainable Impact Partnership Programme (SIPP) to set carbon reduction targets and ensure that demand-driven production changes are reflected across the entire manufacturing base (H&M Group, 2024).

Information Velocity & Source: H&M has moved away from rigid legacy infrastructure toward a unified, **composable data experience** (Hyperight, 2026). The information velocity is high-stakes; the platform processes vast datasets including real-time sales transactions, online browsing behavior, social media trends, and external variables like weather patterns and local events (Digital Defynd, 2026). As of 2026, H&M utilizes "Data Products in a Day," moving from months of manual setup to near-instant results for practitioners (Hyperight, 2026).

Technological Enabler / Algorithm: The primary enablers are **Machine Learning (ML)** models for demand forecasting and **Digital Twins** of the store network (Retail Technology Innovation Hub, 2025). H&M also integrates AI agents to assist in solving complex logistics problems (Hyperight, 2026). For the design phase, the company is piloting **Curbon**, an AI decision tool that integrates environmental impact assessment directly into product creation, modeling carbon, water, and cost trade-offs before a single garment is made (H&M Foundation, 2026).

Data Economy Modality: Data creates value through **Value Retention and Operational Agility** (CTO Magazine, 2025). By using AI to sense demand patterns in real-time, H&M reduces the "inventory surplus" that often ends up as waste, thereby protecting the brand's financial margins and its sustainability "license to operate" (Sahm Capital, 2025). This modality allows the company to replace physical resource buffers with digital intelligence, ensuring materials stay at their highest value for as long as possible (H&M Group, 2024).

Quantifiable Outcome: H&M's AI implementation enabled the company to cut overproduction by **30% in a single year** (Sahm Capital, 2025). On a broader scale, industry-wide AI demand forecasting has shown an average 85% improvement in accuracy and a 30% decrease in storage costs (Sahm Capital, 2025). Environmentally, H&M achieved a **24% reduction in Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions** by late 2024 (compared to a 2019 baseline) while ensuring that 89% of its materials are now recycled or sustainably sourced (H&M Group, 2024).

5.5.5 Cross-Case Analysis

The four cases show that the data economy removes the traditional trade-off between sustainability and efficiency. Although firms operate in different parts of the supply chain, all use AI and real-time data to optimize decisions. Each company applies data differently—transport optimization (IKEA), risk monitoring (Unilever), fleet efficiency (Maersk), and demand forecasting (H&M)—but achieves similar results. Overall, the evidence suggests that **data enables simultaneous cost reduction, efficiency gains, and lower environmental impact**, turning sustainability into a source of competitive advantage.

Dimension	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
Focus Area	Transport (rail shift)	Raw materials (deforestation)	Ocean shipping	Demand & production
Core Technology	AI + Control Tower	AI + Satellite Data	IoT + AI (Edge)	ML + Data Platform
Data Use	Real-time tracking	Risk detection	Fleet optimization	Demand forecasting
Key Mechanism	Volume pooling	Transparency	Network efficiency	Demand sensing

Main Outcome	↓ Cost & ↓ Emissions	↓ Risk & ↑ Traceability	↓ Fuel & ↑ Reliability	↓ Overproduction
Trade-off Result	Cost + Sustainability aligned	Sustainability + Risk reduction	Efficiency + Decarbonization	Profitability + Sustainability

Table 5.6.1: Cross-Case Comparison

6 Conclusion

The collective research presented in this report confirms that the transition from traditional, reactive logistics to a data-driven "Predictive Orchestration" model is the defining shift of the modern Data Economy. By analyzing five distinct echelons, we have demonstrated that information is no longer a byproduct of movement, but the foundational asset that enables global supply chains to withstand unprecedented volatility.

6.1 Summary of Research Results

Our analysis across 20 use cases reveals that AI and advanced analytics deliver quantifiable physical value. Strategically, Digital Twins increased network resilience by 40% (Ilyas). Tactically, automated fulfillment achieved 99.9% accuracy and 35% higher throughput (Ali). Operationally, real-time Machine Learning reduced transit delays by 22% (Tusar). From a risk perspective, IoT monitoring slashed cargo spoilage by 28% (Saddam), while sustainability frameworks achieved a 61% carbon reduction through optimized infrastructure (Paata). Collectively, these results prove that "Operational Subtraction" of error and "Asset Refinement" of physical space are the primary drivers of modern ROI.

6.2 Final Answer to the Research Question

Referring back to our introduction, we asked how global enterprises can transform intangible data into structural resilience. The answer lies in the integration of prescriptive AI across all echelons. By moving from descriptive tracking to autonomous decision-making, firms replace expensive physical buffers (excess inventory and redundant warehouses) with digital intelligence. Data acts as a "structural shock absorber," allowing supply chains to remain fluid even when physical infrastructure is compromised.

6.3 Critical Evaluation and Limitations

Despite these gains, our research identifies three critical limitations:

- **Data Silos:** Many of the high-performance results (like FedEx and Ford) depend on closed, proprietary ecosystems. Smaller firms without massive historical datasets may struggle to achieve similar "Information Symmetry."
- **Algorithmic Bias:** Over-reliance on historical data for tactical and operational routing can create "Blind Spots" during "Black Swan" events that have no historical precedent.
- **The Human Factor:** While AI subtracts error, the "last mile" of decision-making still requires human intervention, particularly in ethically complex sustainability trade-offs or high-stakes crisis management.

6.4 Avenues for Future Research

Future studies should investigate the democratization of these technologies for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) through "AI-as-a-Service" platforms. Furthermore, research into **Quantum Logistics**—using quantum computing to solve combinatorial optimization problems in real-time routing—could offer the next leap in operational efficiency. Finally, as global regulations tighten, the integration of real-time **Carbon Tracking** into the core financial ledger remains a vital area for further exploration.

7 Reflection on project work

The execution of this project, "Supply Chain & Logistics in the Data Economy," served as a practical laboratory for the very concepts we researched: decentralized collaboration, information velocity, and the transition from raw data to actionable insight. Reflecting on the process from October 2025 to March 2026, the group identifies three core dimensions of growth and challenge.

7.1.1 Collaborative Dynamics and Knowledge Silos

Initially, the project faced a "silo" challenge similar to the fragmented supply chains we studied. With five researchers covering five distinct domains (Strategic, Tactical, Operational, Disruption, and Sustainability), there was a risk of creating five isolated papers rather than one cohesive report.

To mitigate this, we implemented a "**Peer-Review Sync**" protocol. Every two weeks, we exchanged draft findings to identify cross-case overlaps. For example, the discovery of Agentic AI in Strategic Design (Section 5.1.1) directly informed the analysis of Real-Time Re-Routing (Section 5.5). This forced us to align our terminology and ensure that the "Data Economy Modalities" were applied consistently across the entire 20-case longlist.

7.1.2 Methodological Rigor vs. Data Scarcity

One of the most significant hurdles was the "Master's-level filtering" described in our methodology. While many companies claim to use "AI," finding **quantifiable ROI** (e.g., specific percentage reductions in CO2 or exact Euro amounts in working capital) proved difficult due to corporate confidentiality.

We learned to pivot our research toward "Lighthouse Cases"—enterprises like FedEx, IKEA, and Ford that have published audited sustainability reports or participated in academic case studies (e.g., Simchi-Levi's work on Ford). This taught us the importance of **data provenance**; in the Data Economy, the credibility of the source is as valuable as the velocity of the information itself.

7.1.3 Theoretical Application: The "So-What?" Factor

The most profound realization during this project was that the "Data Economy" is not merely about having more sensors or faster computers. It is about **Stakeholder Alignment**. We spent significant time debating whether a technology was "Tactical" or "Strategic."

We concluded that the distinction lies in the **decision-maker**:

If the data justifies a **CapEx** (Capital Expenditure) for a new warehouse, it is Strategic.

If the data justifies an **OpEx** (Operating Expense) for labor shift changes, it is Tactical.

This mental model helped us move beyond descriptive writing into true critical analysis, allowing us to evaluate not just *what* the technology does, but *how* it changes the power dynamics within a global organization.

7.1.4 Personal and Professional Growth

As a team, we improved our proficiency in:

- **Scientific Synthesis:** Distilling complex algorithmic functions (like YOLOv8 or Reinforcement Learning) into business-impact narratives.
- **Digital Project Management:** Utilizing collaborative tools to manage a document of this complexity across different schedules.
- **Critical Thinking:** Learning to look past the "AI hype" to find the underlying economic mechanism of value creation.

In conclusion, this project mirrored the modern logistics landscape: it required high-velocity communication, constant re-routing of our research strategy in the face of information gaps, and a final consolidation of diverse parts into a single, high-value output.

References

- Accenture.** (2023). *AI in supply chain operations*.
- Agatz, N., Erera, A., Savelsbergh, M., & Wang, X.** (2012). *Optimization for dynamic ride-sharing systems*.
- Amazon.** (2024). *Logistics network optimization report*.
- ArXiv.** (2025). *A two-stage stochastic optimization model for intermodal rail logistics*. <https://arxiv.org/html/2503.17510v1>
- Capgemini.** (2023). *The last-mile delivery challenge*.
- Cariou, P., & Wolff, F. C.** (2011). *Ship-owners' decisions to outsource vessel management*. *Transport Reviews*, 31(6), 709–724.
- Christopher, M.** (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management (5th ed.)*. Pearson.
- Christopher, M.** (2024). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management (6th ed.)*. Pearson. <https://www.pearson.com/en-gb/subject-catalog/p/logistics-and-supply-chain-management/P200000003310>
- CTO Magazine.** (2025, November 20). *H&M's AI Playbook: The tech strategy behind its transformation*. <https://ctomagazine.com/ai-at-hm-the-tech-strategy-behind-its-transformation/>
- Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K.** (2019). *BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers*. *arXiv*.
- DHL.** (2022). *Resilience360 risk management platform*.
- DHL Trend Radar.** *Computer Vision and Artificial Intelligence in Logistics*. <https://www.dhl.com/global-en/home/insights-and-innovation/thought-leadership/trend-reports/computer-vision.html>
- Dialogue Earth.** (2024). *First-mile data is critical: Unilever sustainable sourcing director*. <https://dialogue.earth/en/food/unilever-sustainable-sourcing-director-first-mile-data-is-critical/>
- Digital Defynd.** (2026). *10 Ways H&M Is Using AI*. <https://digitaldefynd.com/IO/hm-using-ai-case-study/>
- Enki AI.** (2026). *Maersk's LNG fleet 2026: A \$4.6B decarbonization pivot*. <https://enkiai.com/lng/maersks-lng-fleet-2026-a-4-6b-decarbonization-pivot>
- European Commission.** (2023). *Urban mobility report*.
- European Court of Auditors.** (2023). *Intermodal freight transport: EU still far from getting freight off the road*. <https://op.europa.eu/webpub/eca/special-reports/intermodal-freight-transport-08-2023/en/>
- FedEx Corporation.** (2024). *2024 FedEx Annual Report: Delivering What's Next*. <https://investors.fedex.com/financial-information/annual-reports/default.aspx>

- Fiengenbaum Solutions.** (2026). Satellite AI deforestation detection and supply chain transparency. <https://www.fiengenbaum.solutions/en/blog/satellite-ai-deforestation-detection-supply-chain-transparency>
- H&M Foundation.** (2026, March 4). Top 20 finalists for the Global Change Award 2026. <https://hmfoundation.com/2026/03/04/here-are-the-top-20-finalists-for-the-global-change-award-2026/>
- H&M Group.** (2024). Annual and Sustainability Report 2024. <https://hmgroupp.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/HM-Group-Annual-and-sustainability-report-2024.pdf>
- Hapag-Lloyd.** (2026). Gemini Cooperation: Customer value proposition. https://www.hapag-lloyd.com/content/dam/website/downloads/pdf/Customer_Value_Proposition_v3_2026-02-16.pdf
- Heaver, T., Meersman, H., & Van de Voorde, E.** (2000). Co-operation and competition in international container transport. *Transport Policy*, 7(3), 293–305.
- Hülagü, S., Dullaert, W., Eruguz, A. S., Heijungs, R., & Inghels, D.** (2025). Integrating life cycle assessment into supply chain optimization. *PLOS ONE*. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0316710>
- Hyperight.** (2026, January 16). Modernizing a moving train: H&M's high-stakes AI overhaul. <https://hyperight.com/hm-ai-data-platform-transformation/>
- IBM.** (2023). AI for customer experience.
- IKEA.** (2024). Our view on decarbonising transport and logistics. https://www.ikea.com/global/en/images/2320_IKEA_SCO_annual_catalogue_v17_3e92413d0d.pdf
- Inditex.** (2024). Inditex Group Annual Report 2023: Statement on Non-Financial Information. https://static.inditex.com/annual_report_2023/en/Inditex_Group_Annual_Accounts_2023.pdf
- Inter IKEA Group.** (2025). IKEA Climate Report FY24. https://www.ikea.com/global/en/images/IKEA_Climate_Report_FY_24_2025_01_27_9136cd2347.pdf
- Ivanov, D.** (2021). *Supply chain resilience and disruption modeling*.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A.** (2020). *Viability of intertwined supply networks*.
- Ivanov, D., & Gusikhin, O.** (2026). Supply chain digital twin design and implementation at scale: A case study at the Ford Motor Company and generalizations. *Omega*, 139, 103447.
- Ivanov, D., Tsipoulanidis, A., & Schönberger, J.** (2019). *Global supply chain and operations management*. Springer.
- Jackson, I., Ivanov, D., Dolgui, A., & Namdar, J.** (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in supply chain and operations management: a capability-based framework for analysis and implementation. *International Journal of Production Research*, 62(17), 6120-6145.
- Jedermann, R., Nicometo, M., Uysal, I., & Lang, W.** (2014). Reducing food losses by intelligent food logistics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 372(2017).
- Jesus, V., Kalaitzi, D., Batista, L., & Lopez, N. L.** (2024). Digital twins of supply chains: a systems approach. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 14915-14932.
- Journal of Business Logistics.** (General Wiley Online Library access for the cited velocity profiling concepts). <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/21581592>

- Kache, F., & Seuring, S.** (2017). *Challenges and opportunities of digital information at the intersection of Big Data Analytics and supply chain management*. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(1), 10–36.
- Liu, Y., Kalaitzi, D., Wang, M., & Papanagnou, C.** (2025). *A machine learning approach to inventory stockout prediction*. *Journal of Digital Economy*, 4, 144–155.
- Luketina, J., et al.** (2016). *Scalable multi-task learning for legal document classification*. *arXiv*.
- Maersk.** (2023). *Digital logistics and supply chain innovation (conceptual reference based on operational framework)*.
- Maersk.** (2025). *Annual Report 2024 and 2025 Strategy Update: Gemini Cooperation and Star Connect deployment*. <https://investor.maersk.com/static-files/75fac9de-c2cc-421c-8eaf-17d5c5d86135>
- Maersk.** (2025). *Maersk showcases innovations shaping the future of logistics at 'Code Cargo 2025'*. <https://www.maersk.com/news/articles/2025/09/10/future-of-logistics-at-code-cargo-2025>
- Makhdoom, Q., et al.** (2023). *Impact of Green Supply Chain Management on Sustainable Performance: Mediating Role of Big Data Analytics*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12504947/>
- McKinsey & Company.** (2022). *Digital supply chain transformation*.
- McKinsey & Company.** (2024). *Automation has reached its tipping point for warehouse operators*. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/operations/our-insights/automation-has-reached-its-tipping-point-for-warehouse-operators>
- McKinnon, A.** (2018). *Decarbonizing logistics*. Kogan Page.
- Metro Global.** (2025). *Expanding intermodal capability across Europe with KLOG*. <https://www.metro.global/news/expanding-intermodal-capability-across-europe-with-klog/>
- MIT Center for Transportation & Logistics (CTL).** *Research on Automation and the Human Factor*. <https://ctl.mit.edu/research>
- Negri, et al.** (2021). *Solving trade-offs between resilience and sustainability in supply chains: a case study on real-time tracking technologies*. <https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/bitstreams/a7d21e80-eaf5-4c14-957a-5e14a5a5c4ed/download>
- Notteboom, T., Pallis, A., & Rodrigue, J. P.** (2021). *Disruptions and resilience in global container shipping*. *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, 23(2).
- Petersen, B., & Schroder, M.** (2024). *Maersk: Creating an ecosystem for green shipping*. Harvard Business Publishing. <https://hbsp.harvard.edu/product/CBS065-PDF-ENG>
- Physical Internet.** (2023). *Trustee Matchmaking and Volume Pooling in Hyperconnected Logistics*. <https://physical-internet.eu/trustee-matchmaking-volume-pooling/>
- Pillac, V., Gendreau, M., Guéret, C., & Medaglia, A. L.** (2013). *A review of dynamic vehicle routing problems*. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 225(1).
- RailFreight.** (2025). *Bridging Tracks and Technology: How INFORM is empowering the rail industry with AI*. <https://www.railfreight.com/technology/2025/03/04/bridging-tracks-and-technology-how-inform-is-empowering-the-rail-industry/>

- Sahm Capital.** (2025, March 17). Sustainable style: How AI is reducing waste in the fashion world. <https://www.sahmcapital.com/news/content/sustainable-style-how-ai-is-reducing-waste-in-the-fashion-world-2025-03-17>
- Sanci, E., Daskin, M. S., Hong, Y. C., Roesch, S., & Zhang, D.** (2022). Mitigation strategies against supply disruption risk: a case study at the Ford Motor Company. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(19), 5956-5976.
- Sanders, N. R.** (2016). How to use big data to drive your supply chain. *California Management Review*, 58(3), 26–48.
- Sharma, A., Kosasih, E., Zhang, J., Brintrup, A., & Calinescu, A.** (2022). Digital twins: State of the art theory and practice, challenges, and open research questions. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 30, 100383.
- Simchi-Levi, D., Schmidt, W., Wei, Y., Zhang, P. Y., Combs, K., Ge, Y., ... & Zhang, D.** (2015). Identifying risks and mitigating disruptions in the automotive supply chain. *Interfaces*, 45(5), 375-390.
- Su, J., Lin, Q., & Chen, M.** (2025). Optimizing carbon footprint in long-haul heavy-duty E-Truck transportation. *Nature Communications*, 16(1), 9562.
- Tadayonrad, Y., & Ndiaye, A. B.** (2023). A new key performance indicator model for demand forecasting in inventory management considering supply chain reliability and seasonality. *Supply Chain Analytics*, 3, 100026.
- Toth, P., & Vigo, D.** (2014). *Vehicle routing problem*.
- UNCTAD.** (2022). *Review of maritime transport 2022*.
- Unilever.** (2022). How we're using tech for more transparent, traceable supply chains. <https://www.unilever.com/news/news-search/2022/how-were-using-tech-for-more-transparent-traceable-supply-chains/>
- Waller, M. A., & Fawcett, S. E.** (2013). Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: A revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 34(2), 77–84.
- World Bank.** (2020). *Doing business 2020*.
- World Bank.** (2023). *Logistics performance report*.
- World Economic Forum.** (2026). AI-powered supply chains and regional ecosystems shaping globalization. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2026/02/ai-powered-supply-chains-and-regional-ecosystems-shaping-globalization/>
- World Health Organization.** (2020). *Temperature sensitivity of vaccines*.

Appendix

Declaration of Academic Honesty

I, **Alireza Asgari**, hereby declare that I have not previously submitted the present work for other examinations. I wrote this work independently. All sources, including sources from the Internet, that I have reproduced in either an unaltered or modified form (particularly sources for texts, graphs, tables, and images), have been acknowledged by me as such. I understand that violations of these principles will result in proceedings regarding deception or attempted deception.

<<Alireza Asgari>>

<<Hamburg, 31/3/2026>>

I, **Muhammad ilyas**, hereby declare that I have not previously submitted the present work for other examinations. I wrote this work independently. All sources, including sources from the Internet, that I have reproduced in either an unaltered or modified form (particularly sources for texts, graphs, tables, and images), have been acknowledged by me as such. I understand that violations of these principles will result in proceedings regarding deception or attempted deception.

<<Muhammad ilyas>>

<<Hamburg, 31/3/2026>>

I, **Md Tusar Ahmed**, hereby declare that I have not previously submitted the present work for other examinations. I wrote this work independently. All sources, including sources from the Internet, that I have reproduced in either an unaltered or modified form (particularly sources for texts, graphs, tables, and images), have been acknowledged by me as such. I understand that violations of these principles will result in proceedings regarding deception or attempted deception.

<<Md Tusar Ahmed>>

<<Hamburg, 31/3/2026>>

I, **Paata Samkurashvili**, hereby declare that I have not previously submitted the present work for other examinations. I wrote this work independently. All sources, including sources from the Internet, that I have reproduced in either an unaltered or modified form (particularly sources for texts, graphs, tables, and images), have been acknowledged by me as such. I understand that violations of these principles will result in proceedings regarding deception or attempted deception.

<<Paata Samkurashvili>>

<<Hamburg, 31/3/2026>>

I, **Saddam Khan**, hereby declare that I have not previously submitted the present work for other examinations. I wrote this work independently. All sources, including sources from the Internet, that I have reproduced in either an unaltered or modified form (particularly sources for texts, graphs, tables, and images), have been acknowledged by me as such. I understand that violations of these principles will result in proceedings regarding deception or attempted deception.

<<Saddam khan>>

<<Hamburg, 31/3/2026>>